



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,255	08/18/2008	Keiichi Kitahara	5048/76889	1870
23432	7590	03/16/2012		
COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza 20th Floor NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER ROBINSON, ELIZABETH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1787	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2012 PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/594,255	KITAHARA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Elizabeth A. Robinson	1787	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elizabeth A. Robinson. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Ivan Kavrukov. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

**Substance of Interview**  
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner telephoned to determine the status of the case. Attorney Ivan Kavrukov stated that no response was filed.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

	/Callie E. Shosh/
	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787